

Reprinted from CURRENT OPINION IN OPHTHAMOLOGY Vol. 26 No. 4 July 2015 Copyright © 2015 by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Printed in U.S.A.



Treatment for meibomian gland dysfunction and dry eye symptoms with a single-dose vectored thermal pulsation: a review

Caroline A. Blackie^{a,b}, Alan N. Carlson^c, and Donald R. Korb^{a,b}

Purpose of review

Meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) is understood to be a highly prevalent, chronic progressive disease and the leading cause of dry eye. All available published peer-reviewed results of the novel vectored thermal pulsation therapy for patients with MGD are investigated.

Recent findings

The PubMed and meeting abstract search revealed a total of 31 peer-reviewed reports on vectored thermal pulsation therapy at the time of the search (eight manuscripts and 23 meeting abstracts). All manuscripts evidence a significant increase in meibomian gland function ($\sim 3 \times$) and symptom improvement post a single 12-min treatment. Additional reported objective measures such as osmolarity, tear break-up time, or lipid layer thickness also increased as a result of the therapy; however, not all findings were statistically significant. The randomized controlled studies evidence sustained gland function and symptom relief lasting out to 12 months. The uncontrolled case series evidence significantly longer duration of effect.

Summary

A single 12 minute vectored thermal pulsation treatment allows for reducing dry eye symptoms, improving meibomian gland function and other correlates of the ocular surface health.

Keywords

dry eye disease, meibomian gland dysfunction, ocular surface disease

INTRODUCTION

The definition of 'dry eye' continues to evolve. Despite this evolution, it is well understood to be a multifactorial disease state that afflicts many millions of people worldwide [1]. Historically, dry eye has been understood to be predominately due to insufficient aqueous production [2], and the vast majority of our diagnostics and therapeutics have remained largely focused on aqueous replacement and production [1]. Conversely, recent advances have lead to the conclusion that meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) is likely the leading cause of all dry eye [3,4]. This conclusion is supported by a large body of evidence-based medicine reporting that compromise to the lipid layer negatively impacts all aspects of ocular surface health $[3-5,6^{\bullet\bullet},7-9]$.

The awareness that ocular surface health cannot be sustained in the absence of a healthy lipid layer has resulted in a resurgence of interest in meibomian gland function [3,4]. Over the last decade, there have been multiple new developments in diagnostic evaluation of gland function as well as therapeutic interventions for MGD [10–13]. The focus of this review is the reported efficacy of vectored thermal pulsation therapy designed to treat MGD [11].

It has been known for over 150 years that treatment for MGD/obstruction to be optimally effective the stagnated contents of the glands must be evacuated [14–17]. Until recently, the only known method to evacuate stagnated gland contents has been to manually express the glands using physical

Curr Opin Ophthalmol 2015, 26:306-313

DOI:10.1097/ICU.000000000000165

^aKorb Associates, Boston, Massachusetts, ^bTearScience Inc., Morrisville and ^cDepartment of Ophthalmology, Duke Eye Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA

Correspondence to Caroline A. Blackie, OD, PHD, FAAO, 400 Commonwealth Ave, Unit #2, Boston, MA 02215, USA. Tel: +1 617 423 6370; fax: +1 617 426 4924; e-mail: cblackie@tearscience.com

KEY POINTS

- Evacuation of stagnated gland contents remains the necessary core therapy for rehabilitating gland function and reducing symptoms of eye dryness in patients with MGD.
- MGD is understood to be the leading cause of dry eye, in part, because one cannot achieve ocular surface health and wellness in the absence of a healthy lipid layer and tear film stability.
- Successful ocular surface dryness management requires a shift in focus from tear production and quantity to measures of meibomian gland function and tear film stability.
- No other single-dose therapy offers the same efficacy profile for reducing dry eye symptoms, improving meibomian gland function and other correlates of the ocular surface health, as well as vectored thermal pulsation for the evacuation of stagnated gland contents.

force. This procedure although effective is also extremely uncomfortable. In fact, it has been reported that the primary limitation to efficacy of manual expression is pain [18].

A long-standing adjunctive therapy for MGD has been warm compresses [19]. Warm compresses do not evacuate stagnated gland contents but, if appropriately administered, they have the ability to warm the gland contents [20] and do offer some therapeutic value [21,22]. Unfortunately, there is no standard warm compress method but even if one existed, the limitations and safety concerns of heating the external lid surface with the intent of heating the meibomian glands cannot be overcome with even the most optimal warm compress technique [23,24].

The obstacles to efficient heat transfer from the outer to the inner lid surface, imposed by the lid tissue and blood flow, have been discussed elsewhere [11,17,20]. Vectored thermal pulsation therapy was designed to bypass these obstacles and simultaneously evacuate the gland contents while heating the glands to the rapeutic levels, at least 40° C [5,20]. Full description of the device has been reported previously [11,17] but briefly, the LipiFlow (TearScience Inc., Morrisville, NC, USA) applies heat (42.5°C) to both inner eyelid surfaces whereas pulsating pressure is simultaneously applied to the outer eyelids using an inflatable air bladder. This temperature allows for effective heating of the meibomian gland contents [5,25,26] while operating within a well tolerated zone so as not to cause thermal injury [27,28]. As such, the LipiFlow is capable of evacuating meibomian glands of the upper and lower eyelids simultaneously [11,17,29].

The combination of efficient and safe heat transfer to the glands with simultaneously evacuation pressure to milk the glands of their contents, resulted in a vast reduction in required pressure (20–30 pounds per square inch (PSI) with manual expression vs. six PSI with the vectored thermal pulsation [17,18]). Hence, in contrast to the predictably painful experience of manual expression, there are no published or anecdotal reports of pain during vectored thermal pulsation therapy with the Lipi-Flow. Furthermore, since the upper and lower lids can be simultaneously and comprehensively treated, the single 12-min therapy has been surprisingly effective [17,30,31,32^{••},33^{••}].

LipiFlow received US Food and Drug Administration clearance based on its open-label, randomized, controlled, multicenter trial compared with warm compress therapy for the treatment of MGD [17]. There have been a number of subsequent studies that have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of LipiFlow treatment in treating MGD. The purpose of this review is to examine the reports on vectored thermal pulsation therapy for MGD in the peerreviewed literature.

METHODS

Using PUBMED in January 2015 (no time limits), eight related publications were found. Additionally, related American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, European Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, European Association for Vision and Eye Research, and American Academy of Ophthalmology abstracts were searched and included in the review. In total, 31 articles/abstracts (five clinical trials, three case reports, and 23 meeting abstracts) were included in the study. In this review, we systematically examined the literature documenting the outcomes of single 12min treatment of LipiFlow thermal pulsation system on MGD-associated evaporative dry eye.

RESULTS

Review of efficacy outcomes

Meibomian gland function

The literature review indicates that the LipiFlow thermal pulsation device is effective in restoring the meibomian gland function, see Tables 1 and 2.

Interpretation of the meibomian gland function scoring

In the original safety and efficacy trial, a meibomian gland secretion scoring system was developed to

		Patients	Last	Meibomian gland function, MGE (MGYLS)	ind function,	SPEED (OSDI)		TBUT (s)		LLT/ICU average	9
Author/Study Ty	Type of study (eyes)	2	du-wolloj	Pretreatment	Posttreatment	Pretreatment	Posttreatment	Pretreatment	Posttreatmen	Pretreatment Posttreatment Pretreatment	Posttreatment
Finis <i>et al.</i> Pro [38 ^{••}]	Prospective randomized clinical trial	26 (52)	6 months	2.9 ± 1.6	$6.4\pm4.6^{\circ}$	$16 \pm 7 (42 \pm 19)$	16 ± 7 (42 ± 19) $12 \pm 7^{\circ}$ (33 ± 21)° 9.5 ± 8.7	² 9.5 ± 8.7	10.0±6.7	44.0 ±15.6	$51.3\pm20.4^{\circ}$
Finis <i>et al.</i> [36] Pro	Prospective randomized clinical trial	17 (34)	3 months	2.5 ± 1.4	$5.5\pm3.6^{\circ}$	16.8±5.6 (46.2±14.8)	14.5±7.2 (34.6±19.6) ^a	7.9 ±8.5	9.9 ±7.0	43.4 ±9.9	47.4 ± 16.7
Greiner [31] Re	Retrospective observa- tional case series	18 (36) 1 year	1 year	4 .0±3.4	7.3±4.6°	12.9±3.8 (22.2±14.2)	$6.3 \pm 5.5^{\circ}$ (12.4 ± 14.6)°	4.9 ±3.0	6.0±4.4 [°]		
Korb and BlackieCase report [49]		1 (2)	7 months	(OD-1, OS-1)	(OD-4, OS-4)	OD-24.0, OS- 28.0	OD-6, OS-6	OD-4, OS-4	OD-7, OS-9		
Greiner [30] Cl	Clinical trial	21 (42)	9 months	4. 4±4.0	11.7±5.9ª	$\begin{array}{c} 12.9 \pm 4.0 \\ (23.4 \pm 14.4) \end{array}$	$6.2 \pm 7.1^{\circ}$ (12.4 ± 15.3)°	$\textbf{4.8} \pm \textbf{3.2}$	$7.1\pm5.6^{\circ}$		
Lane <i>et al.</i> [17] Prospective, randomize multicente clinical tri	a ja	69 (138) 4 weeks	4 weeks	6.3 ±3.5	16.7±8.7°	14.3±4.8 (32.0±20.0)	7.6±5.8ª (16.6±18.1)ª	5.5 ± 2.9	7.4±5.5 ^a		
Friedland <i>et al.</i> Pro [29]	Prospective multicenter, clinical trial	7 (14)	3 months	3.4±3.2 (2.9±2.8)	9.9±3.2ª (9.9±3.1)ª	16.2±5.4 (37.0±23.8)	$7.8 \pm 4.8^{\circ}$ (18.3 \pm 14.0)°	5.2 ± 2.6	11.0±6.3°		
Korb and BlackieCase report [11]		1 (2)	3 months	(OD-0, OS-1)	(OD-6, OS-6)	OD-20.0, OS- 19.0 (OD- 70.8, OS- 66.7)	OD-3, OS-4 (OD- OD-5.2, OS- 18.8, OS-14.6) 4.5	OD-5.2, OS- 4.5	OD-10.7, OS- 13.8		

Table 2. R thickness	Review of LipiFlow literature (meeting abstracts)	ature (meeti		eporting effica	cy outcomes:	meibomian ç	gland function	, symptom sc	ores, tear bre	ak-up time, c	reporting efficacy outcomes: meibomian gland function, symptom scores, tear break-up time, and lipid layer
				Meibomian gland func- tion, MGE (MGYLS)	land func- GYLS)	SPEED (OSDI)		TBUT (s)		LLT/ICU average	rage
Author/ Study	Type of study	Patients (eyes)	Last follow-up	Pretreat- ment	Posttreat- ment	Pretreat- ment	Posttreat- ment	Pretreat- ment	Posttreat- ment	Pretreat- ment	Posttreat- ment
Bowden [34]	Retrospective single center	62 (119)	6–8 weeks	10.8 ± 6.2	$15.4\pm5.0^{\circ}$	10.5 ± 7.4	4.9 ± 4.0^{a}			67.8 ± 24.1	67.8 ± 24.1 73.2 $\pm 22.4^{\circ}$
Epitropoulos [35]	Retrospective case series	51 (82)	6-8 weeks	3.0 ± 3.3	14.0 ± 8.9^{a}	16.0 ± 7.3	$11.0 \pm 6.1^{\circ}$ 4.0 ± 3.4	4.0 ± 3.4	$7.3 \pm 4.3^{\circ}$		
Greiner [42]	Prospective, multicen- ter, open-label, randomized clinical trial	188 eyes	12 months	6.2 ± 3.7	17.3 ± 9.1ª	(45.6 ± 21.1)	(21.6 ± 21.3)ª				
Holland [32]	Prospective, multicen- ter, open-label clinical trial	66 (197)	12 months	6.3 ± 3.7	17.3 ± 9.1 ^a						
Jackson 2015	Prospective, multicen- ter, open-label, randomized clinical trial	16 (32)	3 months	8.3 ± 3.3	20.9 ± 9.8^{a}						
Kusa and Pio- vella [56]	 Retrospective case series 	33 (64)	2 years							52.1 ± 19.5	52.1 ± 19.5 91.8 ± 14.6
Majmudar [33■"]	Prospective, multicen- ter, open-label clinical trial	200 (400) 1 year	l year	6.4 ± 3.7	17.3 ± 9.1ª	(44.1 ± 20.4)	(21.6 ± 21.3)ª				
Einan-Lifshitz et al. [57]	Retrospective case series	19 (30)	1 month		(No signifi- cant change)		Significant improve- ment in dry eye symp- toms in 42.11% (8) patients		No significant change		No significant change
Finis <i>et al.</i> [36]	Prospective, random- ized, crossover, observer-masked trial	31	3 months		Significant improve- ment		Significant reduction in subjec- tive symp- toms				
Greiner [39]	Prospective, random- ized, crossover, mul- ticenter clinical study		3 years	4.4 ± 4.0	$18.4\pm 6.2^{\circ}$						
Kaercher [52]	Retrospective case series	10	4 weeks			(54.3 ± 21.3)	(51.2 ± 27.5)	11.8 ± 3.4	$15.6\pm7.9^{\circ}$		

Table 2 (Continued)	Continued)										
				Meibomian gland func- tion, MGE (MGYLS)	gland func- (GYLS)	SPEED (OSDI)		TBUT (s)		LLT/ICU average	age
Author/ Study	Type of study	Patients (eyes)	Last follow-up	Pretreat- ment	Posttreat- ment	Pretreat- ment	Posttreat- ment	Pretreat- ment	Posttreat- ment	Pretreat- ment	Posttreat- ment
Petzold and Bedi [50]	Case report	1 (2)	18 months	(OD-8, OS-10)	(OD-12, OS- 13)	20	12	<5 in both the eyes	OD-8, OS-15	$\begin{array}{l} \text{OD-33} \pm 5,\\ \text{OS-31} \pm 5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{OD-77} \pm 5,\\ \text{OS-70} \pm 2 \end{array}$
Rooney et al. 2014	Hospital-based, longi- tudinal, interventional study	25	3 months	4.8 ± 0.5	8.3 ± 1.0ª	(45.0 ± 0.9)	(28.1 ± 11.5) ^α	2.5 ± 0.5	3.5 ± 1.0		
Schallhorn et al. [46"]	Retrospective case series	91 (169)	5-141 months ^b OD- 4.4 : 05-4 22.	OD- 4.4 ± 2.0, OS-4.8 ± 2.1		17.6 ± 7.6	$9.4\pm5.1^{\circ}$			OD-68.2 ± 23.4, OS- 70.6 ± 22.6	
Satjawatchar- aphong et al. [45*]	Satjawatchar-Retrospective case aphong series et al. [45*]	23 (34)	6-10 weeks	7 ± 5	19 ± 9ª	15 ± 5	$9\pm4^{\circ}$		No significant change		No significant change
Greiner [41]	Prospective case series	17	4 years	$\textbf{4.5}\pm\textbf{3.6}$	$17.2 \pm 5.4^{\circ}$	13.7 ± 4.3	10.6 ± 6.8 ^a (returned to BL at 2 years)		Returned to BL at 1 year		
Michee et al. [44]	Michee <i>et al.</i> Prospective case series [44]	17 (20)	1 month	2.8 ± 1.1	$6.8\pm2.3^{\circ}$	$15.4 \pm 4.9,$ (22 \pm 8.4)	12.7 ± 5.8, ^a (21.7 ± 9.5)	3.1 ± 1.6	$5.8\pm2.5^{\circ}$	57.3 ± 21.8 62.5 ± 23	62.5 ± 23
Greiner [40]	Prospective case series	18	3 years	4.8 ± 3.7	$11.4 \pm 4.6^{\circ}$	13.1 ± 4.6	6.2 ± 5.5^{a}	4.1 ± 1.9	Returned to BL at 1 year		
Kim <i>et al.</i> [48]	Retrospective case series	8 (8)	12 months	(1.1 ± 0.8)	(3.1 ± 0.6)						
Korb and Blackie [51]	Prospective case series	12	1 month	4.5 ± 3.0	18.1 ± 8.1ª	17.4 ± 4.5	$11.9\pm5.3^{\circ}$				
Greiner [47]	Prospective, open-label, 69 randomized, multi- center clinical trial	69	4 weeks	6.2 ± 3.6 (0.6 ± 0.9)	$16.7 \pm 8.7,^{a}$ (2.6 ± $3.6)^{a}$						
Majmudar <i>et al.</i> 2010	Prospective, open-label, nandomized, cross- over multicenter clinical trial	69	4 weeks	6.3 ± 3.5	16.7 ± 8.7^{a}			5.5 ± 2.9	$7.4\pm5.5^{\circ}$		
BL, base line; ICU, interferometri Patient Evaluation of Eye Dryness "Significant" bAverage: 43.8 \pm 23.0 months.	BL, base line; ICU, interferometric color unit; LLT, lipid layer thickness; MGE, Patient Evaluation of Eye Dryness; TBUT, tear break-up time. ^o Significant. ^b Average: 43.8 \pm 23.0 months.	LLT, lipid layer break-up time		eibomian gland ∈	xpression; MGYL	S, meibomian glc	ands yielding liqu	id secretion; OSI	meibomian gland expression; MGYLS, meibomian glands yielding liquid secretion; OSDI, Ocular Surface Disease Index; SPEED, Standard	Disease Index; SP	EED, Standard

Corneal and external disorders

assess the meibomian gland function [17]. A total of 15 glands were evaluated along the lower evelid margin, consisting of five glands located in each of the temporal, central, and nasal regions. For each of the 15 glands, expressed secretion characteristics were graded on a scale of 3 (clear liquid secretion), 2 (cloudy liquid secretion), 1 (inspissated/toothpaste consistency), and 0 (no secretion). However, for quicker clinical assessment, the number of meibomian glands yielding any liquid secretions was also recorded. In the subsequent studies, most of the authors have retained the meibomian gland expression (MGE) scoring method as reported by Lane *et al.* [17,30,31,32**,33**,34-37,38**,39-44,45**,46**,47-49]. However, several studies have used the simpler method of counting the number of functional glands, that is, meibomian glands yielding liquid secretion (MGYLS) [29,50-54]. The pretreatment MGE and MGYLS scores have been found to be in the range of 2.9-6.3 and 1.1-2.9, respectively. These values reflect a variation in severity of the disease of the patients in various studies. Nevertheless, all studies to date have demonstrated a significant rise in both MGE score (posttreatment range 5.5–20.9 and number of MGYLS (posttreatment range 4–13) [29,50-54].

Symptom scores

Either one or both of the two validated dry eye questionnaires was reported in all of the peerreviewed studies and abstracts: the standard patient evaluation of eye dryness [55] or the Ocular Surface Disease Index [56] or both. All studies, except one, have reported a statistically significant decrease in mean symptom scores after LipiFlow treatment from baseline. Regardless of the short or long-term followup, a significant drop in symptom scores has been reported with pretreatment standard patient evaluation of eye dryness and OSDI scores ranging between 12.9 to 28.0 and 22.2 to 70.8, respectively, to posttreatment values ranging between 6.2 to 14.5 and 12.4 to 51.2, respectively [30,31,32**,33**,34-37,38^{••},41,45^{••},50]. The studies with follow-up durations between 1 and 4 years document that the improvement in symptom scores following single LipiFlow treatment could be maintained surprisingly long periods of up to 4 years [33^{••},38^{••},39– 41,46^{••},50,58]. In the one study that did not find statistical significant improvement in symptom score, the LipiFlow treatment was done in patients with chronic dry eye with duration ranging from at least 5 years to more than 10 years [58]. In this study, although the patients did show improvement after 4 weeks of the treatment, the improvement in OSDI score was not statistically significant. These results

were hypothesized to be possibly due to dysfunctional pain nociceptors, associated with long-lasting dry eye [58–62].

Other signs of dry eye

In general, lipid layer thickness (LLT) has been found to be thinner in patients with obstructive MGD than normal eyes [61]. Furthermore, LLT has also been found to be negatively correlated with upper and lower meibomian gland loss in patients with obstructive MGD [61]. Available literature has documented a pretreatment LLT between 31.0 and 67.8 interferometric color unit per nanometer, which significantly improved to a range of 47.4– 91 interferometric color unit per nanometer post-LipiFlow treatment [34,36,38^{**},44,46^{***},52,62].

As thicker LLT is associated with better tear film stability, improved tear break-up time (TBUT) is expected as a result of LLT rise. Pretreatment TBUT has been reported to range between 4.0 and 11.8 s in the available literature, which improved to values ranging between 7.3 and 15.6 s post-LipiFlow treatment [11,17,29,30,31,36,38^{••},40,52,58]. Furthermore, an inverse correlation between LLT and tear osmolarity has been documented [36]. Finis et al. and Kaercher [37,58] studied tear osmolarity in patients who underwent single LipiFlow treatment. The results demonstrated improvement in osmolarity; however, it was not statistically significant in either study. Others reports have shown significant improvement in corneal surface staining and tear osmolarity [17,29,36,38^{••},52,58].

Review of safety parameters

The LipiFlow device is designed to safely and comfortably vault the cornea, applying controlled heat to the glands while protecting the surfaces both in proximity of and in contact with the device. None of the studies reported any unanticipated or serious device-related adverse events during treatment or follow-up. In particular, Lane *et al.* [17] found the mean discomfort score during LipiFlow treatment to be 1.4 on a scale of 0-10 and within the category of awareness of pressure without pain (scores 1-2). Similarly, none of the subsequent publication/ abstract has reported pain during the insertion, treatment, or removal of the device.

DISCUSSION

In total, 31 articles/abstracts (five clinical trials, three case reports, and 23 meeting abstracts) were included and systematically reviewed in this study. The outcomes of all the peer-reviewed reports are

that vectored thermal pulsation therapy is highly effective at restoring meibomian gland function and also reducing dry eye symptoms. In addition, it is effective in improving other downstream correlates of ocular surface health such as TBUT, LLT, ocular surface staining, and, in some cases, osmolarity.

The duration of efficacy of the therapy is still being investigated. Of the reported studies, there were two randomized controlled clinical trials observing the duration of effect in terms of gland function and dry eye symptoms for 6 and 12 months both demonstrating that a single vectored thermal pulsation therapy has the potential to remain effective for up to 12 months. Uncontrolled reported case series (up to 4 years following a single treatment) demonstrate that the effects can last significantly longer than 12 months. The results of uncontrolled studies, although thought to be provoking, remain somewhat speculative. In contrast, the results of the two long-term controlled studies are compelling. In both cases, the single LipiFlow treatment was compared with a 3-month 'best medicine/standard of care' whereby a twice-daily regimen of warm compresses and lid hygiene/massage was administered and compliance was closely tracked. These designs controlled for the placebo effect of receiving any therapy (LipiFlow vs. Standard of care) rendering the results directly comparable. In both the cases, the single 12-min vectored thermal pulsation therapy performed better than twice-daily 'standard-of-care' the approach. Furthermore, they demonstrated that the single 12-min therapy was capable of lasting for up to 6–12 months, limited by the length of the trial. In both the trials, the 'standard-of-care' control groups were permitted to cross-over and receive the single 12-min vectored thermal pulsation therapy after 3 months of being compliant with the twice-daily standard-of-care routine.

No single aspect of the lacrimal functional unit operates in isolation. The various moving parts must work together for the ocular surface health to be fully restored. Thus, this review should not be misinterpreted to say that addressing meibomian gland function in isolation is sufficient for full restoration of ocular surface health. However, no other singledose therapy offers the same efficacy profile for reducing dry eye symptoms, improving meibomian gland function and other correlates of the ocular surface health, as well as vectored thermal pulsation for the evacuation of stagnated gland contents. Arguably, one cannot achieve ocular surface health in the absence of a healthy lipid layer, hence the data leading to the conclusion that MGD is the leading cause of dry eye [3,4].

CONCLUSION

These results gathered from multiple sites on multiple continents all serve to confirm that evacuation of stagnated gland contents remains the necessary core therapy for rehabilitating gland function and reducing symptoms of eye dryness in patients with MGD. This shift in understanding the vital role of meibomian gland function in the maintenance of the ocular surface health and wellness requires that we consider evaluating all of our patients for MGD and that we then manage them appropriately. As with other diseases wherein new metrics and therapies expand our understanding of a disease process, the management of ocular surface dryness has shifted from the focus on tear production and quantity to measures of meibomian gland function and tear film stability.

Acknowledgements

Raman Bedi MD, for his expert help while preparing and editing the manuscript.

Financial support and sponsorship

This work was funded by TearScience, Inc., Morrisville, NC, USA.

Conflicts of interest

C.A.B. is an employee, *A.N.C.* is a consultant, and *D.R.K.* is a cofounder and consultant for TearScience, *Inc.*

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
 of outstanding interest
- of outstanding interest
- Lemp MA, Bauduoin C, Baum J, *et al.* The definition and classification of dry eye disease: report of the Definition and Classification Subcommittee of the International Dry Eye WorkShop (2007). Ocul Surf 2007; 5:75–92.
- Lemp MA. Report of the National Eye Institute/Industry workshop on Clinical Trials in Dry Eyes. CLAO J 1995; 21:221-232.
- Nichols KK, Foulks GN, Bron AJ, et al. The international workshop on meibomian gland dysfunction: executive summary. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011; 52:1922–1929.
- Nichols KK. The international workshop on meibomian gland dysfunction: introduction. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011; 52:1917–1921.
- Bron AJ, Tiffany JM, Gouveia SM, et al. Functional aspects of the tear film lipid layer. Exp Eye Res 2004; 78:346–360.
- Mudgil P. Antimicrobial role of human meibomian lipids at the ocular surface.
 Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014; 55:7272-7277.

This article brings to light the host defense role of the lipid layer that is novel information. Previously, the host defense properties were assigned to the aqueous layer.

- Mathers WD, Binarao G, Petroll M. Ocular water evaporation and the dry eye. A new measuring device. Cornea 1993; 12:335–340.
- Mathers WD. Ocular evaporation in meibomian gland dysfunction and dry eye. Ophthalmology 1993; 100:347–351.
- Rohit A, Willcox M, Stapleton F. Tear lipid layer and contact lens comfort: a review. Eye Contact Lens 2013; 39:247-253.
- Korb DR, Blackie CA. Meibomian gland diagnostic expressibility: correlation with dry eye symptoms and gland location. Cornea 2008; 27:1142–1147.

- Korb DR, Blackie CA. Restoration of meibomian gland functionality with novel thermodynamic treatment device: a case report. Cornea 2010; 29:930–933.
- Maskin SL. Intraductal meibomian gland probing relieves symptoms of obstructive meibomian gland dysfunction. Cornea 2010; 29:1145–1152.
- Toyos R, McGill W, Briscoe D. Intense pulsed light treatment for dry eye disease due to meibomian gland dysfunction; a 3-year retrospective study. Photomed Laser Surg 2015; 33:41–46.
- Gifford SR. Meibomian glands in chronic blepharoconjunctivitis. Am J Ophthalmol 1921; 4:489-494.
- McCulley JP, Sciallis GF. Meibomian keratoconjunctivitis. Am J Ophthalmol 1977; 84:788-793.
- Korb DR, Henriquez AS. Meibomian gland dysfunction and contact lens intolerance. J Am Optom Assoc 1980; 51:243–251.
- 17. Lane SS, DuBiner HB, Epstein RJ, *et al.* A new system, the LipiFlow, for the treatment of meibomian gland dysfunction. Cornea 2012; 31:396–404.
- Korb DR, Blackie CA. Meibomian gland therapeutic expression: quantifying the applied pressure and the limitation of resulting pain. Eye Contact Lens 2011; 37:298–301.
- Pflugfelder SC, Geerling G, Kinsoshita S, et al. Management and therapy of dry eye disease: report of the Management and Therapy Subcommittee of the International Dry Eye WorkShop (2007). Ocul Surf 2007; 5:163–178.
- Blackie CA, Solomon JD, Greiner JV, et al. Inner eyelid surface temperature as a function of warm compress methodology. Optom Vis Sci 2008; 85:675– 683.
- Olson MC, Korb DR, Greiner JV. Increase in tear film lipid layer thickness following treatment with warm compresses in patients with meibomian gland dysfunction. Eye Contact Lens 2003; 29:96–99.
- Matsumoto Y, Dogru M, Goto E, et al. Efficacy of a new warm moist air device on tear functions of patients with simple meibomian gland dysfunction. Cornea 2006; 25:644-650.
- Blackie CA, McMonnies CW, Korb DR. Warm compress and the risks of elevated corneal temperature with massage. Cornea 2013; 32:e146-e149.
- McMonnies C, Korb D, Blackie C. The Role of heat in rubbing and massagerelated corneal deformation. Contact Lens Anterior Eye 2012; 35:148–154.
- Terada O, Chiba K, Senoo T, et al. Ocular surface temperature of meibomian gland dysfunction patients and the melting point of meibomian gland secretions. Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi 2004; 108:690–693.
- Huang HW, Shih TC, Liauh CT. Predicting effects of blood flow rate and size of vessels in a vasculature on hyperthermia treatments using computer simulation. Biomed Eng Online 2010; 9:18.
- Moritz AR, Henriques FC. Studies of thermal injury. Am J Pathol 1947; 23:695-720.
- Despa F, Orgill DP, Neuwalder J, *et al.* The relative thermal stability of tissue macromolecules and cellular structure in burn injury. Burns 2005; 31:568– 577.
- Friedland BR, Fleming CP, Blackie CA, et al. A novel thermodynamic treatment for meibomian gland dysfunction. Curr Eye Res 2011; 36:79–87.
- Greiner JV. A single LipiFlow(R) Thermal Pulsation System treatment improves meibomian gland function and reduces dry eye symptoms for 9 months. Curr Eye Res 2012; 37:272-278.
- Greiner JV. Long-term (12-month) improvement in meibomian gland function and reduced dry eye symptoms with a single thermal pulsation treatment. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol 2013; 41:524–530.
- 32. Holland E. Patient characteristics associated with improved meibomian gland
- function after thermal pulsation treatment for meibomian gland dysfunction. ASCRS Annual Symposium and Congress. San Diego, CA, 2015.

This randomized controlled clinical trial evidences that the best outcomes for MGD are achieved when the therapy for is administered early in the progression (as with other chronic, treatable, progressive diseases).

Majmudar P. Long-term effectiveness of single thermal pulsation treatment for
 meibomian gland dysfunction and evaporative dry eye. ASCRS Annual Symposium and Congress. San Diego, CA, 2015.

This randomized controlled clinical trial evidences that the treatment effect of a single-dose vectored thermal pulsation therapy is significant (up to 12 months). No other single-dose treatment for MGD or dry eye with this degree of efficacy exists.

- Bowden F. Effect of thermal pulsation treatment for evaporative dry eye on symptoms, meibomian gland function, and lipid layer thickness. ASCRS Annual Symposium and Congress. San Diego, CA, 2015.
- Epitropoulos A. Evaluation of single thermal pulsation treatment for meibomian gland dysfunction and dry eye. ASCRS Annual Symposium and Congress. San Diego, CA, 2015.
- Finis D, Hayajneh J, König C, et al. Evaluation of an automated thermodynamic treatment (LipiFlow(R)) system for meibomian gland dysfunction: a prospective, randomized, observer-masked trial. Ocul Surf 2014; 12:146– 154.
- 37. Finis D, Hayajneh J, König C, et al. Restoration of meibomian gland function in severe dry eye disease due to obstructive MGD with an automated physical therapy device. Acta Ophthalmol 2014; 92:; 0. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-3768.2014.2674.x.

Finis D, König C, Hayajneh J, et al. Six-month effects of a thermodynamic
 treatment for MGD and implications of meibomian gland atrophy. Cornea 2014; 33:1265-1270.

This manuscript evidences the 6 months efficacy of a single-dose vectored thermal pulsation therapy. It also evidences that gland atrophy needs to be in excess of 67% to significantly negatively compromise the impact of a single-dose vectored thermal pulsation therapy.

- Greiner J. Automatic application of warmth and expression a breakthrough in physical therapy of dry eye. Acta Ophthalmol 2014; 92:; 0. doi: 10.1111/ j.1755-3768.2014.2673.x.
- 40. Greiner JV. Long-term (3 year) effects of a single lipiflow thermal pulsation system treatment on meibomian gland function and dry eye symptoms. AAO Annual Meeting. Chicago, IL, 2012.
- Greiner JV. Long-term (4 years) effects of a thermal pulsation system treatment on meibomian gland function and dry eye symptoms. AAO Annual Meeting. New Orleans, LA, 2013.
- 42. Greiner JV. Comparison of efficacy and convenience of warm compresses and eyelid hygiene to thermal pulsation treatment for meibomian gland dysfunction. ASCRS Annual Symposium and Congress. San Diego, CA, 2015; 92:0. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-3768.2014.2673.x.
- Jackson M. Evaluation of thermal pulsation system treatment for meibomian gland dysfunction in cataract surgery patients. ASCRS Annual Symposium and Congress. San Diego, CA, 2015.
- Michee S, Rabut G, Baudouin C, Labbe A. Treatment of Meibomian gland disease with the Lipiflow is system: a prospective study. Acta Ophthalmol 2013; 91:; 0. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-3768.2013.S010.x.
- 45. Satjawatcharaphong P, Zhou Y, Lin MC. Effects of LipiFlow treatment on dry
- eye symptoms, tear film stability, and meibomian gland expression. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014; 55:32.

This study is the first documented study of a single-dose vectored thermal pulsation therapy in an Asian population.

 46. Schallhorn C, Schallhorn SC, Schallhorn JM. Effectiveness of an eyelid
 thermal pulsation procedure to treat recalcitrant dry eye symptoms after refractive surgery. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014; 55:3694.

This study is the first documented study of a single-dose vectored thermal pulsation therapy having significant efficacy in a post-laser in-situ keratomileusis population with long-standing recalcitrant dry eye symptoms.

- 47. Greiner JV; LipiFlow Study Group. Treatment of meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) with the novel LipiFlow(R) thermal pulsation system restores meibomian gland function. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2010; 51:6282.
- Jackson M. Evaluation of thermal pulsation system treatment for meibomian gland dysfunction in cataract surgery patients. ASCRS Annual Symposium and Congress. San Diego, CA, USA, 2015.
- 49. Majmudar PA and the LipiFlow Study Group. A novel thermal pulsation treatment for obstructive meibomian gland dysfunction: applying heat to the inner eyelid surfaces. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2010; 51:6281.
- Kim S, Blackie CA, Korb DR. Restoration of meibomian gland function after treatment for meibomian gland dysfunction. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2012; 53:601.
- Korb DR, Blackie CA. Case report: a successful LipiFlow treatment of a single case of meibomian gland dysfunction and dropout. Eye Contact Lens 2013; 39:e1-e3.
- Petzold G, Bedi R. Management of postlaser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) dry eye with LipiFlow[®]. XXXII Congress of the ESCRS. London, UK, 2014.
- 53. Korb DR, Blackie CA. Restoration of meibomian gland function post Lipiflow treatment. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011; 52:3818.
- Rooney D, Hong Tan J, Acharya UR, et al. Thermal pulsation for meibomian gland dysfunction in Asian patients. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2014; 55:0034.
- Ngo W, Situ P, Keir N, *et al.* Psychometric properties and validation of the Standard Patient Evaluation of Eye Dryness questionnaire. Cornea 2013; 32:1204–1210.
- Schiffman RM, Christianson MD, Jacobsen G, et al. Reliability and validity of the Ocular Surface Disease Index. Arch Ophthalmol 2000; 118:615–621.
- EinanLifshitz A, Harofeh A, Israel Z, et al. Thermal Pulsation Treatment for Meibomian Gland Dysfunction. ASCRS Annual Symposium and Congress. Boston, MA, 2014.
- Kaercher T. Automated thermodynamic therapy a new device to overcome long-lasting dry eye states. XXXII Congress of the ESCRS. London, UK, 2014.
- Rosenthal P, Borsook D. The corneal pain system. Part I: the missing piece of the dry eye puzzle. Ocul Surf 2012; 10:2-14.
- Borsook D, Rosenthal P. Chronic (neuropathic) corneal pain and blepharospasm: five case reports. Pain 2011; 152:2427–2431.
- Eom Y, Lee JS, Kang SY, et al. Correlation between quantitative measurements of tear film lipid layer thickness and meibomian gland loss in patients with obstructive meibomian gland dysfunction and normal controls. Am J Ophthalmol 2013; 155:1104-1110.
- **62.** Kusa B, Piovella M. Thermal pulsation treatment for MGD and dry eye to optimize tear film for better quality of vision. ASCRS Annual Symposium and Congress. San Diego, CA, 2015.